

Quantitative Analysis Competencies Development in Business Education

XU, Tao¹

(Business School, Soochow University, China)

Abstract: *The digital economy raises employers' demand for business graduates' quantitative analysis competencies. Traditional business education often separates statistical training from core business courses, causing fragmented learning and statistics anxiety. This conceptual paper proposes an integrated pedagogical framework including cross-curriculum tasks, project-based learning, progressive tool training and revised assessment. It discusses implementation barriers and offers practical suggestions for business educators.*

Keywords: *digital economy; business education; quantitative analysis competencies; curriculum design*

Date of Submission: 06-10-2026

Date of Acceptance: 16-10-2026

I. Introduction

Digital transformation makes data-driven decision-making standard in business, rendering quantitative analysis a core graduate competency (Weltman & Whiteside, 2010). Such competency covers data organisation, basic statistics, result interpretation, recognising data limitations and communicating insights for business decisions, prioritising practical contextual application over advanced mathematics.

Despite industry demand, most undergraduate business programmes teach statistics and econometrics as isolated compulsory courses, while marketing, finance and operations modules remain largely qualitative (Carlson & Winquist, 2011). This disconnect creates a learning gap: students may pass exams yet cannot apply statistical tools to real business problems, and many suffer quantitative anxiety (Zanakis & Valenzi, 1997).

Existing research mainly optimises standalone statistics courses, with limited work on embedding quantitative thinking across the full curriculum. This conceptual paper addresses this gap and explores feasible teaching strategies to build students' quantitative competencies.

II. Literature Review

Digital business produces large datasets, requiring business graduates to conduct basic data handling, visualization and business analysis (Pothier & Condon, 2019). Modern quantitative training prioritises data literacy over traditional statistical theories. Carlson & Winquist (2011) separate statistical knowledge from quantitative competency: competency involves selecting suitable analytical methods, identifying bias and communicating findings to non-technical audiences, which guides curriculum redesign.

Many business students suffer statistics anxiety. Zanakis and Valenzi (1997) found students view statistics as abstract and career-irrelevant; formula-focused teaching leads to superficial exam-oriented learning. Project-based learning (PBL) using real business datasets links statistical tools to practical contexts and avoids mechanical formula use (Carlson & Winquist, 2011). Weltman and Whiteside (2010) advocate cross-curriculum integration, embedding quantitative tasks across business modules to offer repeated practice, lower anxiety and sustain skills.

Prior studies examine applied learning and cross-course strategies independently. This research gap motivates the present study to build an integrated teaching framework adaptable to undergraduate business programmes constrained by faculty and credit limits, alongside implementation challenges.

III. Current Challenges in Developing Quantitative Analysis Competencies

3.1 Siloed curriculum structure

In many business schools, statistics and related quantitative courses exist as independent modules. Lecturers of marketing, management and finance usually concentrate on theory and qualitative case discussion. They rarely assign data analysis tasks. Students only encounter quantitative thinking in one or two semesters. Once they finish the statistics course, they seldom apply these skills again in later business modules. This

¹ The author is Professor of Finance at Business School, Soochow University, China. Email: tylerxu@sina.com

separation leads to forgetting and weak transfer of knowledge.

3.2 Students' quantitative anxiety and passive learning

A large proportion of business majors choose business studies partly to avoid heavy mathematics. When quantitative courses start with abstract theory, students quickly lose motivation. Their learning goal becomes passing assessments instead of developing usable skills. They memorize formulas for tests without understanding the business meaning behind calculations. This learning style cannot build sustainable quantitative competencies (Zanakis & Valenzi, 1997).

3.3 Limitations of teaching methods and assessment

Traditional quantitative teaching heavily relies on lectures and textbook exercises. Textbook datasets are simplified and artificial, hiding messy real-world issues such as missing observations, inconsistent records and sample selection bias. Students do not learn to clean data or judge data quality.

Assessment design reinforces this problem. Written examinations dominate grading. Exams test formula application and calculation accuracy. They rarely evaluate students' ability to define business research questions, interpret outputs or explain the limitations of their analysis. Students have little incentive to practice authentic data analysis.

3.4 Faculty constraints

Two faculty-related barriers exist. First, many business educators specialized in management, marketing or finance do not have strong hands-on experience with modern data tools such as Python or SQL. They feel unprepared to design and supervise data projects. Second, heavy teaching loads reduce time for cross-department curriculum coordination. Statistics instructors and business subject teachers seldom co-design teaching activities.

IV. An Integrated Pedagogical Framework

This section proposes a practical framework to develop quantitative analysis competencies.

4.1 Cross-curriculum embedding of quantitative tasks

The core idea is breaking the silo between statistics and business courses. Quantitative exercises should appear progressively across different years of the business program. In the first year, basic descriptive data tasks can be added to introductory business courses: students summarize industry indicators with Excel tables and charts. In the second year, while students take business statistics, core courses such as marketing add small assignments: analysing customer survey data to compare consumer groups. In the third year, finance or operations courses assign mini projects using public firm-level datasets.

All these tasks remain modest in scale. They are not heavy research projects. The purpose is repeated practice: students continuously see how quantitative methods answer business questions. Statistics instructors and business subject teachers can hold regular short meetings to align task difficulty and learning objectives (Weltman & Whiteside, 2010).

4.2 Project-based learning with authentic business datasets

Teachers can adopt open, real datasets rather than textbook examples. Suitable public resources include company annual reports, open consumer surveys, retail sales datasets and macroeconomic industry statistics. Students work in small groups. Each group follows a complete analytical workflow: define a business question, select relevant data, clean data, conduct simple quantitative analysis, visualize results, discuss limitations and write a short business report.

The grading focus is not on sophisticated statistical models. Graders evaluate whether students can frame a business problem properly, interpret numerical results reasonably and acknowledge weaknesses in their data and analysis. This emphasis aligns with the needs of business employers (Carlson & Winquist, 2011).

4.3 Progressive training of data tools

Tool training should follow a progressive path to avoid overwhelming students. The first stage uses Excel: pivot tables, descriptive statistics and charts. Excel is accessible and widely used in ordinary business jobs. The second stage introduces simplified analytical tools or basic Python for data visualization. Advanced algorithms are not required. Students learn tools as instruments to answer business questions, not as independent learning targets. This step-by-step design helps reduce students' fear of technical software.

4.4 Reform of assessment methods

Assessment needs to shift from pure written exams to mixed evaluation. The weight of final written examinations can be reduced. Continuous assessment includes data assignments, group project reports and presentation of analytical findings. When students present their projects, they need to explain what their numbers mean for business decisions. This form of assessment directly measures quantitative analysis competency, not just memory of statistical formulas.

V. Discussion: Implementation Barriers and Mitigation Strategies

The proposed framework faces several practical difficulties. This section discusses these challenges and possible solutions.

The first barrier is uneven prior mathematical background among business students. Some learners have strong high-school mathematics, while others have very limited preparation. One mitigation is optional self-study modules for foundational mathematics before quantitative courses start. Teachers can set differentiated task requirements: basic tasks for all students, and optional extended analytical tasks for students with stronger interests.

The second barrier is faculty capacity. Business teachers without data experience may feel uncomfortable supervising data projects. Schools can organize internal workshops focusing on simple data tools and project design. Statistics faculty can collaborate with business course instructors to co-develop assignments and share supervision work. Inviting industry practitioners as guest speakers is another low-cost option; practitioners can share real cases of data usage in business work.

The third barrier is limited teaching time. Adding large projects to every course is impossible. The solution is to keep individual assignments small. The reform is embedded into existing courses instead of creating new courses. The incremental workload remains manageable for both instructors and students.

This framework is designed for general undergraduate business education. It is not intended for data science or business analytics majors. Its goal is to build basic usable quantitative competencies for mainstream business graduates.

VI. Conclusion

The digital economy requires business graduates to make data-driven decisions, yet current quantitative training faces curriculum fragmentation, statistics anxiety and exam-focused teaching. This conceptual paper proposes an integrated framework with cross-curriculum tasks, real-data PBL, progressive tool training and revised assessments to cultivate practical quantitative competency. Implementation barriers include diverse student backgrounds, limited faculty capacity and tight schedules; incremental reform and teamwork can mitigate these. Future qualitative research may evaluate classroom practice and refine the framework.

References

- [1]. Carlson, K. A., & Winquist, J. R. (2011). Evaluating an active learning approach to teaching introductory statistics: A classroom workbook approach. *Journal of Statistics Education*, 19(1). <https://jse.amstat.org/v19n1/carlson.html>
- [2]. Pothier, W. G., & Condon, P. B. (2019). Towards data literacy competencies: Business students, workforce needs, and the role of the librarian. *Journal of Business & Finance Librarianship*, 24(3–4), 217–235. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08963568.2019.1666207>
- [3]. Weltman, D., & Whiteside, M. (2010). Comparing the effectiveness of traditional and active learning methods in business statistics: Convergence to the mean. *Journal of Statistics Education*, 18(1). <https://jse.amstat.org/v18n1/weltman.html>
- [4]. Zanakis, S. H., & Valenzi, E. R. (1997). Student anxiety and attitudes in business statistics. *Journal of Education for Business*, 73(1), 10–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08832329709601608>